

National Judicial Academy

P-1234: Workshop for Newly Elevated High Court Justices
12th – 13th December, 2020

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rahul I. Sonawane and Ms. Ankita Pandey, Faculty
No. of Participants : 30
No. of forms received : 06

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	100.00	-	-	1. All resource persons were distinguished, retired judges, lawyers and sitting judges of Hon'ble Supreme Court and they were highly effective and their expertise in the subject were excellent.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	100.00	-	-	1. Inaugural session by Hon'ble Chief Justice of India was par excellence and all other speakers are distinguished persons in the legal field and, therefore, one only accumulates more knowledge from their ocean of knowledge and expertise.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	100.00	-	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	83.33	16.67	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	100.00	-	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	100.00	-	-	-

b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	100.00	-	-	-
c. Up to date	100.00	-	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	100.00	-	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	50.00	50.00	-	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	100.00	-	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	100.00	-	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	83.33	16.67	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	66.67	33.33	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	100.00	-	100.00	-
2	100.00	-	100.00	-
3	100.00	-	100.00	-
4	75.00	25.00	100.00	-
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks

a. The Program material is useful and relevant	100.00	-	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	100.00	-	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	100.00	-	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. To uphold the laws and constitution of India; 2. Develop good conscience and constant endeavor of retaining the value of ‘sentinel on the qui vive’; 3. Judicial restraint in judicial review; 4. Inculcate patience to hear matters at length.</p> <p>2. The programme was informative. Resource persons discussed the subject(s) quoting judgments, thus providing insight into the latest developments.</p> <p>3. 1. We have to learn the simplicity and humbleness from people like Justice Kurian Joseph; 2. An overall picture about the scope of judicial review; 3. The importance of the oath taken by High Court Judges.</p> <p>4. Scope of judicial review in protecting fundamental rights and role of courts.</p> <p>5. 1. It gave new ideas and insights on the subjects discussed; 2. A very effective interaction was held on each of the subjects; 3. The entire programme was highly motivational and inspiring.</p> <p>6. 1. Acquired a lot of clarity on the ‘scope of judicial review on various legal aspects; 2. Jurisprudential aspect of law has to be given due consideration; 3. The calm/composed/balance manner in which even irrelevant queries of the participants are to be responded.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. All the sessions were interrelated and indeed very helpful.</p> <p>2. All the sessions were good being informative and useful.</p> <p>3. Presentation by Justice B.S. Chauhan & by Adv. Sujit Ghosh; Their experience in court proceeding were clear in their lecture.</p> <p>4. Each part of programme was equally useful.</p> <p>5. Each part.</p> <p>6. Every part was very useful as it pertained to legal aspect which we are required to deal daily in discharge of our duty.</p>
3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. All the sessions were useful.</p> <p>3. Lecture by Mr. Khagesh Gautam, his presentation is not up to the mark and may not be useful to High Court Judges.</p> <p>4. Each part of programme was equally useful.</p>

	<p>5. None.</p> <p>6. Difficult to say. I found none.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Such programme should take place from time to time with resource persons having practical knowledge in their respective fields.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. Organize more such interactive sessions virtually even after pandemic; Send reading material periodically.</p> <p>5. By providing more time for interaction.</p> <p>6. It to be better if resource persons trends light on a topic, instead of reading from the printed material, through their personal experience as it not only makes the session lively; but also drive the point most effectively and efficiently.</p>